BOARD MEETING - LOUISIANA BOARD OF VETERINARY MEDICINE October 14, 2021

Minutes

I. CALL TO ORDER

Board President, Dr. Joseph Bondurant, Jr., called the meeting to order at 8:30am.

II. ROLL CALL

Roll call was taken by Board Secretary-Treasurer, Dr. Marullo, with the following results:

Those present:

Joseph Bondurant, Jr., DVM
Alfred G. Stevens, DVM
Board President
Board Vice President
Secretary-Treasurer
Larry L. Findley, Sr, DVM
Board Member
Board Member

Jared B. Granier

Stephen H. Vogt

Board Executive Director
Board General Counsel

Guests:

Dean Oliver Garden
Dr. Bonnie Boudreaux
Melanie Talley
LSU School of Veterinary Medicine
LSU School of Veterinary Medicine
Louisiana Veterinary Medical Association

Petitioner case 19-0405 I and guest Litigants; Oksana Nyzhnyk and representative Bo Stewart

Board President officially welcomed the Board's newest member, Dr. Larry Findley, Sr. Statement of Obligations was read aloud by Dr. Marullo to all present for the meeting.

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Board Minutes for August 5, 2021

The Board reviewed minutes from August 5, 2021. With no further discussion on the minutes as given, motion was made to accept the minutes as presented by Dr. Marullo, seconded by Dr. Cataldo, and passed unanimously by voice vote.

B. Board Minutes for September 15, 2021

The Board reviewed minutes from September 15, 2021. Dr. Stevens pointed out the erroneous exclusion of Dr. Findley and inclusion of former board member, Dr. Corley, from the roll call. Motion by Dr. Stevens was made to accept the minutes with the corrections to the roll call being made post-meeting by Mr. Granier, seconded by Dr. Cataldo, and passed unanimously by voice vote.

IV. FINANCIAL MATTERS AND CONTRACTS

A. Financial Reports - July & August '21

Mr. Granier presented the financial reports for the months of June and August for review by the Board. Mr. Granier informed the Board that all financial matters are in order and while Mr. Granier anticipates a slight drop in the projected revenue due to a reduction in the number of license renewals by inactive licensees, the Board is still projected to remain within its budgeted figured for FYE. Motion was made by Dr. Stevens to accept the financial reports as presented, seconded by Dr. Marullo, and passed unanimously by voice vote.

B. Annual Fiscal Report, FY2021

Mr. Granier presented the Board's Annual Fiscal Report for FY 2021 for review. No action was taken on this item.

C. Maximum Employee Wage Increase, FY 2023 Budget

Mr. Granier presented the financial history of maximum employee pay raise amounts for the last 15+ fiscal years. Mr. Vogt advised that the Board discussion be done in executive session due to the sensitive personnel subject matter. The Board took Mr. Vogt's advice; however, failed to re-address this agenda item in executive session. Mr. Granier added this agenda item to the December Board meeting; however, in completing the budget for FY 2023 is factoring in the max raise amount in order to provide the Board with a completed budget for review. No further action was taken on this item at this time.

D. Investments - CDs, Request to Purchase in FY 2022

Mr. Granier presented the current CD investment figures to the Board, requesting approval to allow one CD currently with b1Bank in the amount of \$150,000 to mature on October 31, 2021 and to purchase a one-year CD with EFCU Financial in the same amount of \$150,000 with a return rate of 0.90%. Mr. Granier also requested approval to purchase a second one-year CD with EFCU Financial in the amount of \$170,000. Motion was made by Dr. Stevens to approve the request to purchase the two one-year CDs in the amount given, seconded by Dr. Cataldo, and passed unanimously by voice vote.

E. Audit Update, FY2021

Mr. Granier informed the Board that the audit was delayed due to Hurricane Ida's effect on the LAA-appointed auditor. On-site fieldwork is scheduled by auditor in early November '21, the results of which will be presented to the Board at the earliest next Board meeting. No further action was taken on this item at this time.

V. MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS

A. New Licenses and Certificates Issued

Mr. Granier reported all new licenses and certificated issued listed below from 07/28/21 to 09/20/21. Motion was made by Dr. Marullo to accept and ratify all issued licenses as given, seconded by Dr. Cataldo, and passed unanimously by voice vote.

License			Initial License
Number	Licensee	License Status	Date
10022	Kohl Brandon	DVM - Active	8/23/2021
10032	Lindsey Matirne	DVM - Active	7/29/2021
10033	Felicia Brennan	DVM - Active	7/29/2021
10034	Jennifer Campbell	DVM - Active	7/29/2021
10035	Zachary Stephenson	DVM - Active	8/3/2021
10036	David Coffey Jr	DVM - Active	8/9/2021
10037	Beth Favaloro	DVM - Active	8/9/2021
10038	Jennifer Daly	DVM - Active	8/9/2021
10039	Joseph Blount	DVM - Active	8/10/2021
10040	Amanda Todd	DVM - Active	8/10/2021

10041	Nadeeshani Wijetunge	DVM - Active	8/10/2021
10042	Charles Brooks	DVM - Active	8/10/2021
10043	Stuart McKenzie	DVM - Active	8/10/2021
10044	Mary Hannon	DVM - Active	8/10/2021
10045	Ashley Gotreaux	DVM - Active	8/11/2021
10046	Brianna Villasenor	DVM - Active	8/16/2021
10047	Jenny Vasquez	DVM - Active	8/17/2021
10048	Chelsea Shaw	DVM - Active	8/18/2021
10049	Megan Ricord	DVM - Active	8/23/2021
10050	Alexis Schlamp	DVM - Active	9/17/2021
10051	Reagan Peters	DVM - Active	9/17/2021

License			Initial License
Number	Licensee	License Status	Date
Faculty 1031	Francisco Morales	DVM - Faculty - Active	7/28/2021
Faculty 1032	Shannon Dehghanpir	DVM - Faculty - Active	8/9/2021
Faculty 1033	Daniel Langlois	DVM - Faculty - Active	8/9/2021
Faculty 1034	Brian Flesner	DVM - Faculty - Active	8/13/2021
Faculty 1035	Jennifer Herring	DVM - Faculty - Active	8/16/2021
Faculty 1036	Noelia Diaz Falcon	DVM - Faculty - Active	8/19/2021

License			Initial License
Number	Licensee	License Status	Date
20009	Kacie Reed	RVT - Active	8/18/2021
20010	Jennifer Freme	RVT - Active	8/18/2021
20011	Alyssa Jarreau	RVT - Active	8/18/2021
20012	Laura Hunsinger	RVT - Active	8/18/2021
20013	Tiffany Melancon	RVT - Active	8/17/2021
20014	Bailey Turner	RVT - Active	8/18/2021
20015	Jordana Gaudet	RVT - Active	8/18/2021
20016	Christopher Wallsmith	RVT - Active	8/18/2021
20017	Alexandra Veldstra	RVT - Active	8/27/2021
20018	Charity Wesley	RVT - Active	9/7/2021

B. Proposed Board Meeting Dates 2022

Mr. Granier presented proposed Board meeting dates for 2022. Motion was made by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Cataldo to accept the proposed dates as presented to be printed in the Board's 2021 newsletter and published in the *Louisiana Register*. The 2022 board meeting dates are February 3, April 7, June 2, August 4, October 6, December 1, 2022. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

C. AAVSB Annual Conference Report

Mr. Granier, Dr. Cataldo, and Mr. Vogt presented a review of various topics and discussions from the 2021 AAVSB Annual Conference in Denver, CO. No action was taken on this item.

D. Update on Renewals

Mr. Granier presented the statistics below on the renewal applications. No action was taken on this item.

License Renewal Figures as of 10/14/2021 at 7:35am	Renewed	Not Renewed	Grand Total
DVM - Active	15525	174	1726
DVM - Faculty - Active	110	40	150
DVM - Inactive - Disabled	1	1	2
DVM - Inactive - Retired	2	3	5
DVM - Inactive (no longer used)		57	57
DVM - Military - Active Duty	1	1	2
RVT - Active	285	43	328
CAET - Active - Lead	19	4	23
CAET - Active - Full	93	47	140
Grand Total	2063	370	2433

VI. CONTINUING EDUCATION ISSUES

A. None at this time

VII. PRECEPTORSHIP ISSUES

A. Practice Assessment Questionnaire Review

The board reviewed the request and documentation for preceptorship site approval status. Motion was made by Dr. Marullo, seconded by Dr. Findley, to approve the facility in question as a limited preceptorship site. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

VIII. POLICY, PROCEDURE, AND RULES

A. General Update – List of rule-making items in progress:

1. NAVLE/VTNE Requirement & Waiver for DVMs/RVTs

A general, continuing discussion was had concerning potential rule making regarding the waiver of a retake of the NAVLE for applicants whose passing scores are more than 5 years old. The Board requested specific rule language changes to address problematic applications of the present rule language to address the intent of the Rule and Practice Act without resulting in absurd results. The board instructed counsel to present various options for Board consideration along with corresponding options for the waiver of the retake of the VTNE after the passage of 3 years, and to provide parallel rule language for both veterinarians and RVTs. Counsel will present alternative rule language changes consistent with the Practice Act.

B. Policy and Procedure

1. None at this time

C. Declaratory Statements

1. None at this time

D. General Agenda

1. Teaching of Artificial Insemination Techniques and Pregnancy Diagnosis The Board was asked to consider whether the teaching of AI techniques and the methods of determining pregnancy to lay persons is prohibited by the Practice Act or Board Rules, where the instructors are both licensed and not licensed by the Board, in the context of an advertised instructional program offered in this State. After a discussion, it was determined by the Board that while the diagnosing and use of any mechanical procedure for testing for pregnancy constitutes a prohibited act by a nonlicensee, and although rendering advice with regards to such an act is also prohibited, an exception exists pertaining to the teaching of livestock management practices, including artificial insemination of farm animals or livestock, as what was proposed in the advertisement. Accordingly, the board concluded that with regards to the teaching of artificial insemination techniques to lay persons in this context such is not prohibited. With regards to pregnancy determinations, the advertisement clearly indicated the instruction was by local veterinarians to producers and for pregnancy determination in their own herds. Accordingly, the board concluded that while there exists a possibility of misuse of the instruction, the teaching of such techniques to owners for the sole purpose of diagnosing pregnancy in animals owned is not prohibited by the Practice Act or Rules.

E. Consent Agenda Opinions

- 1. Answered
 - i. Obligation to Report Lost (Microchipped) Animal After One Year
 The Board was asked to provide instruction to a licensed veterinarian who,
 upon seeing a patient for the first time, discovered it had been microchipped
 prior to the client finding the patient thought to be a stray. The client did not
 consent to the veterinarian determining the purported owner who had the
 patient microchipped and contacting that person. The Board found that under
 such circumstances, the Board Rules and Principles of Veterinary Medical
 Ethics regarding the confidentiality of patient records and the information
 within them do not allow for the veterinarian to contact the person who had
 the patient microchipped in an effort to determine ownership. It encouraged
 the veterinarian to attempt to persuade the client to allow such a
 determination and deferred to other civil or criminal authorities concerning
 any obligation to report these circumstances but found the privacy mandate by
 the Rules and Ethical Principles to be controlling in this context.
 - ii. Transfer of Ownership of Patient and Patient Records

A veterinarian licensed by the Board requested instruction on his obligations under the Practice Act, Board Rules and/or Principles of Ethics under the following circumstances. The veterinarian was contacted by a person not the owner of record for a patient, asking for the medical records of the patient and advising that he had acquired the patient from the owner's succession or heirs. There was no formal act of acquisition. Noting the potential of the patient being at risk should a subsequent veterinarian not have the benefit of records and the informality often associated with the transfer of ownership of an animal, though succession or otherwise, the inquiring veterinarian was advised to determine whether his records had any indication of coownership and failing that, to require the new, purported owner to sign an affidavit attesting to the method of acquisition of the patient and to provide some indicia of proof of the owner of record's decease. The possession of the patient by the new, purported owner and the request to verify transfer of ownership as stated, under these

particular circumstances, including the request for board guidance, would provide a substantial defense for a technical violation of the privacy principles.

iii. Controlled Substance Prescription Laws

The Board was asked to provide information concerning how licensees are bound by Louisiana's controlled substances laws and, in particular, the obligations under a Prescription Monitoring Program. The inquirer was advised that veterinarians are exempt from the PMP and the Rules concerning record keeping for controlled substances were cited.

iv. Can Faculty Licensee Prescribe for Personal Pet?

The Board was asked if it a faculty licensee could prescribe a controlled substance for his/her own pet. The inquirer was advised that the scope of the practice of a veterinarian with a faculty license is that involving his employment with the SVM under the Practice Act and Rules, and that privately owned patients are treated by the SVM.

v. Non-Veterinarian Ownership of a Clinic

The Board was asked whether the ownership of a veterinary clinic was restricted by Board Rules or the Practice Act. The inquirer was advised that while the ownership of a veterinary clinic is not restricted to ownership by a licensed veterinarian anymore, the person practicing veterinary medicine must not be unduly influenced by any means or by any ownership entity and is subject to the provisions of the Practice Act, Board Rules and Principles of Veterinary Medical Ethics.

vi. Questions Related to License Reciprocity and Telemedicine

An out of state veterinarian inquired about La licensing by reciprocation and telemedicine rules. He was advised that Louisiana does not grant licensure by state -to-state agreement, was cited the PA and Rule provisions regarding obtaining a LA license and was advised that LA does not have particular rules governing the practice by Telemedicine. Key issues include the prior establishment of a VCPR, efficacious communication with the client and approved record keeping protocol. Further response was declined due to a lack of sufficient information.

vii. Are Complaints Permanently on License Record

The Board was asked if a complaint filed against a license veterinarian remained on the veterinarian's "permanent record". The licensee was advised that only final, adverse determinations by the Board and the reasons for such determinations are public records subject to the Public Records Law, but that the Board may keep a short notation of the prior filing of a complaint and the reasons for its dismissal.

viii. Heartworm Prevention Medication via Online Retailer

An inquiry concerning the existence of state law requiring a heartworm test prior to prescribing a heartworm preventative was declined for insufficient information for an authoritative response.

ix. Equine Dentistry by Non-Veterinarians

An inquiry was made concerning whether non-veterinarians were allowed to practice equine dentistry and, if so, whether they could administer drugs and pull teeth. The Board advised that the practice of equine dentistry is the practice of veterinary medicine and must be performed by a licensed veterinarian or holder of a temporary permit except as allowed by the Practice Act and Board Rules. Registered Equine dentists may engage in the practice under the parameters allowed by Rule. Lay people and RVTs may practice equine dentistry if properly trained by a board approved program and if under the direct supervision of an employing licensed veterinarian. Then only the rasping of molar, pre-molar and canine teeth and the removal of deciduous, incisor and pre-molar teeth is allowed. Rules concerning the nature of proper training, the employment by a licensed veterinarian, the scope and limitations of direct supervision were cited to the inquirer (Board Rule 710 et seq., 1500 et seq. Further, a lay person or RVT otherwise qualified may not prescribe, recommend or administer any legend drug or controlled substance.

x. Faculty License Allowances at Shelter

The board was asked if a faculty licensee could administer rabies vaccinations to without active supervision by a regularly licensed veterinarian to a publicly owned animals at Companion Animal Alliance, which is a part of the internship program at LSU SVM. The inquirer was advised that the Board's regulatory authorities do not extend to the Sanitary Code, regulated by the Department of Health and Hospitals, and that the scope of a faculty licensee's practice is not limited geographically if it is a part of the employment at the LSU SVM. In such instances, the faculty license may be used for "all aspects" and supervision by a regular licensed veterinarian is not mandatory.

xi. Can a Savannah Cat be Vaccinated

The Board received a practice query from a veterinarian asking about the propriety of vaccinating a savannah cat. The board, after reminding the veterinarian that it is only a secondary regulator with regard to rabies vaccinations, also deferred to the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries concerning the issue of the legality of ownership of a savannah cat, citing applicable statutory authorities on point with citations regarding the issue of efficacy of vaccines. In responding to the query, the board then concluded that administration of vaccinations of a savannah cat, aside from rabies, is not prohibited under the Board Rules.

xii. Prescriptions Acceptable to Out-of-State Pharmacies

A licensed veterinarian made inquiry as to the appropriateness of providing clients with prescriptions from an online pharmacy where the clients had been displaced by Hurricane Ida temporarily. Citing rule 700, the Board concluded that as long as a valid VCPR had been maintained with the veterinarian having sufficient knowledge of the condition of the patients, oral or electronic prescriptions to any registered pharmacy is acceptable under the Board Rules.

xiii. Mobile Practice Offering Acupuncture/Chiropractic (Equine only)

A veterinarian licensed in Louisiana made inquiry as to the need for a contract with a local emergency facility in the context of a mobile practice where the clients would maintain a primary relationship with another veterinarian and equine acupuncture and chiropractic services would be exclusively offered. The Board clarified certain assumptions not clearly provided in the query, including operating from a mobile practice vehicle as defined by the Rules, the status as a licensed veterinarian with the expertise to offer the stated services and a

direct VCPR with the clients (not a referral). It cited the mandatory language of Rule 711 F in possessing a prior, written agreement with a local veterinary clinic or hospital within a radius of 30 minutes/miles from the location of services rendered, notwithstanding the self-limitation of services offered under the proposed practice. All requirements of the provision of services from a mobile practice vehicle were provided to the veterinarian.

xiv. Disaster Protocol for Dispensing of Medication

A licensed veterinarian made inquiry of the Board asking about the existence of any disaster protocol in the context of seeing clients normally served by practices affected by Hurricane Ida wherein records were not available, where refills of prescribed medications were sought. The Board, noting that the Governor's emergency proclamation did not cover the issues involved, advised that a valid VCPR is required before dispensing any controlled substance or legend drug. This entails ascertaining enough knowledge about the patient's condition and a reliance on the veterinarian's sound medical judgment to allow a refill of the medication, considering all pertinent factors including the likelihood of harm and scope of examination necessary to determine the soundness of medical judgment in refilling a medication.

xv. Insurance Fraud on Policy for Horse

A local veterinarian sought guidance from the Board where a client had been charged with insurance fraud by local law enforcement. The veterinarian had been treating the client's horse at the time the allegedly fraudulent claim had been filed with the insurer. The district attorney's office had sought information normally confidential and the veterinarian wished to know the circumstances under which such information could be provided. Following receipt of the query, the issue was pretermitted by the client giving consent to the veterinarian to release the requested information.

xvi. Possible Abandonment of Patient by Client

A veterinarian who was boarding a previously treated patient sought guidance on the statutory guidelines regarding formal abandonment of the animal, whose owner discontinued contact with the veterinarian for over 30 days. A referral to the abandonment laws was made to answer the questions posed. Ls. R.S. 3:2451 et seq. (which can be found at the Board's website, www.lsbvm.org under its "practice act" tab.

xvii. Advise for Felony Case

A pet owner sought advice from the Board on the manner of charging another citizen with a felony for the alleged wrongful killing of a pet. The Board declined the query as without its regulatory jurisdiction.

xviii. Question on Mobile Veterinary Services

A veterinarian sought guidance from the Board concerning the operation of a practice from a vehicle not equipped with instrumentation or diagnostic, etc. equipment (essentially a personal vehicle) and not associated with a brick and mortar facility. The board helped define the vehicle for purposes of the application of Board Rules and referred the veterinarian to the requirements of Rule 711 (F) 1-12, and stated the requirement of access to running water found in other rules did not apply to the vehicle as so classified.

2. Proposed

i. None at this time.

F. Expedited / Emergency Opinion

i. None at this time.

(Public Comments)

Motion was made by Dr. Cataldo to go into executive session to discuss confidential matters regarding licensees and applicants not subject to public disclosure as per the law, seconded by Dr. Findley, and passed unanimously by voice vote.

IX. ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

A. Case #19-0405 I - Petition to modify consent order

Petitioner practicing veterinary medicine under a consent order requested a modification to allow application for DEA and Board of Pharmacy Registrations, and to amend supervisory aspects of the original consent order. Dr. Bondurant was introduced as presiding officer. Respondent appeared and gave testimony. Steve Vogt presented the case. Following the presentation, motion by Dr. Cataldo to grant the proposed modifications was made, seconded by Dr. Marullo. The petition was granted unanimously by voice vote, to be reduced to writing with formal acceptance by Petitioner.

B. Case #21-0311 V - Consent Order

Dr. Bondurant was introduced as Presiding officer, the investigating board member being recused from the case. Steve Vogt presented the facts of the case. Resondent waived her presence. A proposed Consent Order was presented to the Board for consideration. Following the presentation, a motion was made by Dr. Cataldo to accept the consent order, seconded by Dr. Findley. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

X. DVM, RVT, AND CAET ISSUES

- C. M. Angele Blanton, DVM Request to Change Status to Inactive Retired Following review of the documentation provided by Dr. Blanton, motion was made outside of executive session, by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve status change to Inactive Retired and to waive the 20 CE requirements for Renewal Year 2021-2022 per rule 405C. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.
- D. Melissa A. Butler, CAET (Full) Declaration Issue on Certification Renewal Agenda item was not discussed.
- E. Randolph J. Chick, DVM Request to Change Status to Inactive Retired
 Following review of the documentation provided by Dr. Chick, motion was made outside of
 executive session, by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve status change to
 Inactive Retired and to waive the 20 CE requirements for Renewal Year 2021-2022 per rule
 405C. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.
- F. Frederick M. Enright, DVM Request to Change Status to Inactive Retired Following review of the documentation provided by Dr. Enright, motion was made outside of executive session, by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve status change to Inactive Retired and to waive the 20 CE requirements for Renewal Year 2021-2022 per rule 405C. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

- G. David M. Moore, DVM Request to Change Status to Inactive Retired
 Following review of the documentation provided by Dr. Moore, motion was made outside of
 executive session, by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve status change to
 Inactive Retired and to waive the 20 CE requirements for Renewal Year 2021-2022 per rule
 405C. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.
- H. Mary E. Pecquet Goad, DVM Request to Change Status to Inactive Retired Following review of the documentation provided by Dr. Pecquet Goad, motion was made outside of executive session, by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve status change to Inactive Retired and to waive the 20 CE requirements for Renewal Year 2021-2022 per rule 405C. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.
- I. Randy W. Thayer, DVM Request to Change Status to Inactive Retired Following review of the documentation provided by Dr. Thayer, motion was made outside of executive session, by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve status change to Inactive Retired and to waive the 20 CE requirements for Renewal Year 2021-2022 per rule 405C. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

XI. DVM APPLICANT ISSUES

- A. Carolyn Crochet, DVM Request Waiver of NAVLE Retake & Preceptorship 2016 graduate of LSU CVM, licensed in CO. The Board reviewed the documentation submitted by Dr. Crochet. Motion was made by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve waiver of retake of the national examination and preceptorship requirements as the documents provided meet the criteria of full-time clinical veterinary practice for the required period of time immediately prior to application, and licensure in good standing in another jurisdiction. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.
- B. Brian Evans, DVM Request Waiver of NAVLE Retake & Preceptorship 2006 graduate of the University of California, Davis CVM, licensed in VA, ID, PA, CA, SD, IN, NY, and MI. The Board reviewed the documentation submitted by Dr. Evans. Motion was made by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve waiver of retake of the national examination and preceptorship requirements as the documents provided meet the criteria of full-time clinical veterinary practice for the required period of time immediately prior to application, and licensure in good standing in another jurisdiction. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote
- C. Christopher Tuma, DVM Request Waiver of NAVLE Retake & Preceptorship 2013 graduate of Oklahoma CVM, licensed in OK, CA, AZ, MO, TX, and UT. The Board reviewed the documentation submitted by Dr. Tuma. Motion was made by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve waiver of retake of the national examination and preceptorship requirements as the documents provided meet the criteria of full-time clinical veterinary practice for the required period of time immediately prior to application, and licensure in good standing in another jurisdiction. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.
- D. Mara Wanderer, DVM Request Waiver of Preceptorship 2020 graduate of LSU SVM, licensed in TX. The Board reviewed the documentation submitted by Dr. Wanderer. Motion was made by Dr. Stevens, seconded by Dr. Marullo, to approve waiver of the preceptorship requirements as the documents provided meet the criteria of full-time clinical veterinary practice for the required period of time immediately prior to application, and licensure in good standing in another jurisdiction. Motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

XII. EXECUTIVE SESSION

A. Discussion with Prospective Litigants

Respondent in a civil action filed by the Board requested an informal conference with the Board concerning possible resolution of the matter and an informal presentation of her factual account of events leading to litigation. Questions were posed by the Board. No action was taken.

Upon conclusion of executive session, motion was made to return to regular session by Dr. Marullo, seconded by Dr. Stevens, and approved unanimously by voice vote. All votes related to administrative hearings, applicant and licensee issues above were made out of executive session.

XIII. ADJOURN

There being no further business before the Board, motion was made by Dr. Findley, seconded by Dr. Stevens, and passed unanimously by voice vote to adjourn. The meeting was adjourned at 3:25pm.

Minutes reviewed and approved by full board on December 2, 2021.

Trisha C. Marullo, DVM, Board Secretary Vreasurer